Characteristically simple Beauville groups, I: cartesian powers of alternating groups

Gareth A. Jones
School of Mathematics
University of Southampton
Southampton SO17 1BJ, U.K.
G.A.Jones@maths.soton.ac.uk

Abstract

A Beauville surface (of unmixed type) is a complex algebraic surface which is the quotient of the product of two curves of genus at least 2 by a finite group G acting freely on the product, where G preserves the two curves and their quotients by G are isomorphic to the projective line, ramified over three points. Such a group G is called a Beauville group. We show that if a characteristically simple group G is a cartesian power of a finite simple alternating group, then G is a Beauville group if and only if it has two generators and is not isomorphic to A_5 .

MSC classification: 20D05 (primary); 14J25, 30F10 (secondary).

1 Introduction

A Beauville surface S of unmixed type is a complex algebraic surface of the form $(C_1 \times C_2)/G$, where C_1 and C_2 are complex algebraic curves (compact Riemann surfaces) of genera $g_i \geq 2$, and G is a finite group acting faithfully on the factors C_i and freely on their product, with $C_i/G \cong \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ for i = 1, 2 and the induced covering $\beta_i : C_i \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ ramified over three points. (We will not consider Beauville surfaces of mixed type, where elements of G transpose the factors C_i .) These surfaces have interesting rigidity properties, and since the first examples, with $C_1 = C_2$ Fermat curves, were introduced by Beauville [4, p. 159], they have been intensively studied by geometers such as Bauer, Catanese and Grunewald [2, 3, 6].

Group theorists have recently considered which groups G, called Beauville groups, and in particular which non-abelian finite simple groups, arise in this way [1, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]; see [22] for a survey. One easily shows that the smallest such group, the alternating group A_5 , is not a Beauville group. Bauer, Catanese and Grunewald [2] conjectured that all other non-abelian finite simple groups are Beauville groups. This was

proved with finitely many possible exceptions by Garion, Larsen and Lubotzky [18], proved completely by Guralnick and Malle [19], and extended to quasisimple groups (with A_5 and $\hat{A}_5 \cong SL_2(5)$ as the only exceptions) by Fairbairn, Magaard and Parker [12]. Our aim here is to begin a further extension of these results, to characteristically simple groups.

A finite group G is characteristically simple (that is, it has no characteristic subgroups other than G and 1) if and only if it is isomorphic to a cartesian power H^k of s simple group H [21, Satz I.9.12]. (Finiteness is essential here: for instance, the additive group of any division ring is characteristically simple, since the multiplicative group acts transitively on the non-zero elements.) The abelian characteristically simple finite groups are the elementary abelian p-groups $G \cong C_p^k$, where p is prime. Catanese [6] has shown that an abelian group G is a Beauville group if and only if $G \cong C_n^2$ for some n coprime to 6, so the abelian characteristically simple Beauville groups are the groups C_p^2 for primes $p \geq 5$. We therefore restrict our attention to cartesian powers $G = H^k$ of non-abelian finite simple groups H. Since simple Beauville groups have been dealt with, we may assume that $k \geq 2$.

The ramification condition on the coverings β_i implies that a Beauville group is a quotient of two triangle groups Δ_i , so in particular it is a 2-generator group. For any finite group H and any integer $n \geq 1$, there are only finitely many normal subgroups N in the free group F_n of rank n with $F_n/N \cong H$, so there is an integer $c_n(H) \geq 0$ such that H^k is an n-generator group if and only if $k \leq c_n(H)$. Then $c_2(H)$ is an upper bound on the values of k such that H^k is a Beauville group.

Computing $c_n(H)$ can be difficult, even for n = 2, but if H is a non-abelian finite simple group then $c_n(H)$ is equal to the number $d_n(H)$ of orbits of Aut H on ordered n-tuples which generate H. In some small cases, methods introduced by P. Hall [20] allow $d_n(H)$ to be computed by hand: thus $c_2(A_5) = d_2(A_5) = 19$, for example. More recently, Dixon [9] and Maróti and Tamburini [31] have given asymptotic estimates and inequalities for $d_2(A_n)$.

Our main result concerns the alternating groups A_n , which are simple for $n \geq 5$.

Theorem 1.1 Let $G = H^k$, where $H = A_n$ for $n \ge 5$, and $k \ge 1$. Then the following are equivalent:

- G is a Beauville group;
- G is a 2-generator group not isomorphic to A_5 ;
- n = 5 and $2 \le k \le d_2(H)$ (= 19), or n > 5 and $k \le d_2(H)$.

The analogue of Theorem 1.1 is also true when H is a simple group $L_2(q)$, $L_3(q)$, $U_3(q)$, a Suzuki group $Sz(2^e)$, a 'small' Ree group $R(3^e)$, or one of the 26 sporadic simple groups [24]. This suggests the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.2 Let G be a non-abelian finite characteristically simple group. Then G is a Beauville group if and only if G is a 2-generator group and $G \not\cong A_5$.

In order to prove that any group G is a Beauville group, one needs to realise it as a quotient of two triangle groups Δ_i , or equivalently to produce two generating triples (a_i, b_i, c_i) (i = 1, 2) for G, images of the canonical generators of Δ_i , which satisfy $a_ib_ic_i = 1$. If $G = H^k$ then for i = 1, 2 the members of such a triple are k-tuples $a_i = (a_{ij})$, $b_i = (b_{ij})$ and $c_i = (c_{ij})$ such that, for $j = 1, \ldots, k$, the elements a_{ij}, b_{ij} and c_{ij} of H form k generating triples for H which satisfy $a_{ij}b_{ij}c_{ij} = 1$ and are mutually inequivalent under the action of Aut H. Provided $k \leq d_2(H)$ there are k-tuples of such triples in H. However, in order for G to be a Beauville group we need two such k-tuples, one for each i, satisfying the following extra conditions.

The curve C_i has genus $g_i \geq 2$ provided the orders l_i, m_i and n_i of a_i, b_i and c_i satisfy $l_i^{-1} + m_i^{-1} + n_i^{-1} < 1$, a condition which is automatic unless $H \cong A_5$ and k = 1. However, it is generally harder to satisfy the other condition, that G acts freely on $C_1 \times C_2$. The elements of G with fixed points on C_i are the conjugates of the powers of a_i, b_i and c_i , so for i = 1, 2 these two subsets Σ_i of G must intersect in only the identity element. This is equivalent to $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ containing no elements of order p for each prime p dividing |G|, a condition which can be ensured by a careful choice of the generating triples (a_{ij}, b_{ij}, c_{ij}) for H, and of how they are are arranged to form k pairs for $j = 1, \ldots, k$. Ensuring this generally requires separate arguments for small k and for large k. Also, as is typical when considering infinite families of finite groups, small groups sometimes require special treatment.

2 Beauville surfaces and structures

A finite group G is a Beauville group (of unmixed type) if and only if it has generating triples (a_i, b_i, c_i) for i = 1, 2, of orders l_i, m_i and n_i , such that

- 1. $a_i b_i c_i = 1$ for each i = 1, 2,
- 2. $l_i^{-1} + m_i^{-1} + n_i^{-1} < 1$ for each i = 1, 2, and
- 3. no non-identity power of a_1, b_1 or c_1 is conjugate in G to a power of a_2, b_2 or c_2 .

(In fact (2) is implied by (1) and (3), but it is useful to discuss it here.) We will call such a pair of triples (a_i, b_i, c_i) a Beauville structure for G. Property (1) is equivalent to G being a smooth quotient Δ_i/K_i of a triangle group $\Delta_i = \Delta(l_i, m_i, n_i)$ by a normal surface subgroup K_i uniformising C_i , with a_i , b_i and c_i the local monodromy permutations for the covering $C_i \to C_i/G$ at the three ramification points; we call l_i, m_i and n_i the periods of Δ_i . We call (a_i, b_i, c_i) hyperbolic if it satisfies (2); this is equivalent to C_i having genus at least 2, so that Δ_i acts on the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H} , with $C_i \cong \mathbb{H}/K_i$ and $C_i/G \cong \mathbb{H}/\Delta_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$; note that if $l_i^{-1} + m_i^{-1} + n_i^{-1} \ge 1$ then any quotient of Δ_i is either solvable or isomorphic to A_5 , so most of the groups we shall consider automatically satisfy (2). Property (3), which is generally the most difficult to verify, is equivalent to G acting freely on $C_1 \times C_2$, since the elements with fixed points in C_i are the conjugates of the powers of a_i, b_i and c_i .

By a triple in a group G we will mean an ordered triple (a, b, c) of elements of G such that abc = 1; it is a generating triple if a, b and c (and hence any two of them) generate

G, and it has $type\ (l, m, n)$ if a, b and c have orders l, m and n (known as its periods). Two triples are equivalent if an automorphism of G takes one to the other; thus equivalent triples have the same type. A triple of type (l, m, n) can be converted into one whose type is any permutation of (l, m, n) by permuting (and if necessary inverting) its elements. We say that a Beauville structure, in this notation, has $type\ (l_1, m_1, n_1; l_2, m_2, n_2)$; by the preceding remark we can permute or transpose the two types (l_i, m_i, n_i) while preserving properties (1), (2) and (3).

To show that a pair such as a_1 and a_2 satisfy (3) it is sufficient to verify that, for each prime p dividing both l_1 and l_2 , the element $a_1^{l_1/p}$ of order p is not conjugate to $a_2^{kl_2/p}$ for k = 1, 2, ..., p - 1; in particular, if l_1 is prime it is sufficient to verify this for a_1 . Similar remarks apply to every other pair chosen from the two triples.

For i = 1, 2 let Σ_i be the set of elements of G conjugate to powers of a_i, b_i or c_i ; this is a union of conjugacy classes of G, closed under taking powers. Condition (3) is satisfied if and only if $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \{1\}$. For any prime p, let $\Sigma_i^{(p)}$ denote the set of elements of order p in Σ_i . The preceding remark then gives the following:

Lemma 2.1 If generating triples (a_i, b_i, c_i) (i = 1, 2) for a group G have types (l_i, m_i, n_i) , then they satisfy (3) if and only if $\Sigma_1^{(p)} \cap \Sigma_2^{(p)} = \emptyset$ for each prime p dividing $l_1m_1n_1$ and $l_2m_2n_2$. In particular, they satisfy (3) if $l_1m_1n_1$ and $l_2m_2n_2$ are mutually coprime.

3 Generating cartesian powers

Here we consider whether the k-th cartesian power H^k of a finite group H can be a Beauville group for various k > 1. The observation that any Beauville group must be a 2-generator group immediately imposes restrictions on k, as follows.

If H is a finite group, let

$$b(H) = \max\{k \mid H^k \text{ is a Beauville group}\}$$

and let

$$c_2(H) = \max\{k \mid H^k \text{ is a 2-generator group}\},$$

with b(H) = 0 or $c_2(H) = 0$ if H^k is not a Beauville group or a 2-generator group for any $k \ge 1$. Then clearly

$$b(H) \le c_2(H),$$

so any upper bound on $c_2(H)$ is also an upper bound on b(H).

Lemma 3.1 Let H be a finite group. If H is not perfect then $c_2(H) \leq 2$.

Proof. Since H is not perfect it has C_p as an epimorphic image for some prime p, so H^k has C_p^k as an epimorphic image. If H^k is a 2-generator group, so is C_p^k , giving $k \leq 2$. \square

Thus in looking for Beauville groups among cartesian powers H^k ($k \ge 2$), it is sufficient to consider arbitrary powers of perfect groups H, and cartesian squares of imperfect groups

H. (In the context of cartesian powers of finite groups, Wiegold [34] has shown that there is a more general dichotomy between perfect and imperfect groups H, with the rank $d(H^k)$ of H^k respectively having essentially logarithmic or arithmetic growth as $k \to \infty$. However Tyrer Jones [33] has constructed finitely-generated infinite groups satisfying $H \cong H^2$, so $d(H^k)$ is constant.)

When H is finite and perfect, arguments due to P. Hall [20] bound the values of k one needs to consider. For any finite group H, let $d_2(H)$ denote the number of normal subgroups N of the free group $F_2 = \langle X, Y \mid - \rangle$ of rank 2 with $F_2/N \cong H$, and let $\phi_2(H)$ be the number of 2-bases (ordered generating pairs) for H. Any 2-base (x, y) for H determines an epimorphism $\theta: F_2 \to H$, sending X and Y to X and Y, so it determines a normal subgroup $N = \ker \theta$ of F_2 with $F_2/N \cong H$; conversely, every such normal subgroup arises in this way, with two 2-bases yielding the same normal subgroup N if and only if they are equivalent under an automorphism of H. Thus $d_2(H)$ is the number of orbits of Aut H on 2-bases for H. Since only the identity automorphism can fix a 2-base, this action is semiregular, so we have

Lemma 3.2 If H is a finite group then

$$\phi_2(H) = d_2(H)|\operatorname{Aut} H|.$$

Corollary 3.3 If H is a non-identity finite group then

$$c_2(H) \le d_2(H) = \frac{\phi_2(H)}{|\operatorname{Aut} H|}.$$

Proof. If H^k is a 2-generator group, then it is a quotient of F_2 , so there are at least k normal subgroups of F_2 with quotient group H. This gives $c_2(H) \leq d_2(H)$, and Lemma 3.2 completes the proof.

For some groups we have $c_2(H) < d_2(H)$: for instance, if p is prime then $c_2(C_p) = 2$ whereas $d_2(C_p) = p+1$. However, if H is a non-abelian finite simple group we have equality in Corollary 3.3. This uses the following well-known result:

Lemma 3.4 Let H be a non-abelian finite simple group, and let a group Γ have distinct normal subgroups N_1, \ldots, N_k with $\Gamma/N_i \cong H$. Then $\Gamma/\cap_{i=1}^k N_i \cong H^k$.

Applying this with $\Gamma = F_2$, we have the following:

Corollary 3.5 If H is a non-abelian finite simple group then

$$c_2(H) = d_2(H) = \frac{\phi_2(H)}{|\text{Aut } H|}.$$

Since $b(H) \leq c_2(H)$, and since simple groups have been dealt with, this means that in order to prove Theorem 1.1 it is sufficient to show that if $H = A_n$ then H^k is a Beauville group for each $k = 2, \ldots, d_2(H)$. We will do this by repeated use of the following immediate consequence of Lemma 3.4:

Corollary 3.6 Let H be a non-abelian finite simple group. Then k-tuples $a=(a_j)$, $b=(b_j)$ and $c=(c_j)$ form a generating triple for $G=H^k$ if and only if their components (a_j,b_j,c_j) for $j=1,\ldots,k$ form k mutually inequivalent generating triples for H.

Although we have concentrated on 2-generator groups, most of the results discussed here have obvious extensions to n-generator groups for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [20, §1.6].

4 Evaluating $\phi_2(H)$, $d_2(H)$ and $c_2(H)$

In [20], Hall gave a method which, among many other applications, gives a formula for $\phi_2(H)$ for any finite group H. From this one can in theory deduce the value of $d_2(H)$, though in practice one usually has to be content with approximations.

Since any pair of elements of H generate a unique subgroup K we have

$$|H|^2 = \sum_{K \le H} \phi_2(K).$$

Applying Möbius inversion in the lattice Λ of subgroups of H, we therefore have

$$\phi_2(H) = \sum_{K \le H} \mu(K)|K|^2$$

where μ is the Möbius function for Λ . This is defined recursively by the formula

$$\sum_{L>K} \mu(L) = \delta_{K,H}$$

for each $K \leq H$, where δ denotes the Kronecker delta-function. For instance, Hall used this to show that $d_2(A_5) = 19$ and $d_2(A_6) = 53$.

For any non-abelian finite simple group H, we have

$$c_2(H) = d_2(H) = \frac{\phi_2(H)}{|\operatorname{Aut} H|} < \frac{|H|^2}{|\operatorname{Inn} H|.|\operatorname{Out} H|} = \frac{|H|}{|\operatorname{Out} H|}.$$

Results of Dixon [8], of Kantor and Lubotzky [27], and of Liebeck and Shalev [28] show that a randomly-chosen pair of elements generate H with probability approaching 1 as $|H| \to \infty$, so this upper bound is asymptotically sharp, that is,

$$d_2(H) \sim \frac{|H|}{|\operatorname{Out} H|}$$
 as $|H| \to \infty$.

The values of |H| and |Out H| for all non-abelian finite simple groups H are given in [7]. They show that for each of the infinite families of such groups, |Out H| grows much more slowly than |H|, so that in fact $d_2(H)$ grows almost as quickly as |H|. For instance, $d_2(A_n) \sim n!/4$ as $n \to \infty$ (see [9, 31] for more detailed results).

5 Beauville structures in cartesian powers

We saw in Corollary 3.6 how to form generating triples in a cartesian power $G = H^k$ of a non-abelian finite simple group H. In this section we consider sufficient conditions for two such triples to satisfy the hypothesis $\Sigma_1^{(p)} \cap \Sigma_2^{(p)} = \emptyset$ of Lemma 2.1 for all primes p, so that condition (3) of a Beauville structure is satisfied.

For any prime p, and any $g = (g_j) \in G = H^k$, define the p-profile of g to be the k-tuple $P_p(g) = (e_j)$, where p^{e_j} is the highest power of p dividing the order $o(g_j)$ of g_j . Define the p-summit of g to be the set $S_p(g)$ of $j \in \mathbb{N}_k := \{1, \ldots, k\}$ for which e_j attains its maximum value, provided this is not 0, and define $S_p(g) = \emptyset$ if o(g) is not divisible by p. If an element $g' = (g'_j) \in G$ of order p is conjugate to a power of g, then it has coordinates g'_j of order p at all $j \in S_p(g)$, with $g'_j = 1$ elsewhere; in other words, the support $\sup(g') := \{j \mid g'_j \neq 1\}$ of g' is equal to $S_p(g)$. If T = (a, b, c) is a triple in G, define the p-summit $S_p(T)$ to be the set $\{S_p(a), S_p(b), S_p(c)\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{N}_k . The first part of the following result is now obvious, and the second follows from Lemma 2.1:

Lemma 5.1 Let H be a finite group. If two triples (a_i, b_i, c_i) (i = 1, 2) in $G = H^k$ have disjoint p-summits $S_p(T_i)$ for some prime p, then $\Sigma_1^{(p)} \cap \Sigma_2^{(p)} = \emptyset$. If this happens for each prime p dividing any of their periods, then $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \{1\}$.

One way of ensuring that certain elements of \mathbb{N}_k are or are not in $S_p(g)$, without needing to know all the coordinates of g, is to make at least one coordinate of g p-full, meaning that its order is divisible by the highest power of p dividing the exponent of H. In this case, $S_p(g)$ is the set of all j such that g_j is p-full.

For any triple T=(a,b,c) in H, let $\nu_p(T)$ be the number of p-full elements among a,b and c. Define two triples T_i (i=1,2) to be p-distinguishing if $\nu_p(T_1) \neq \nu_p(T_2)$, and to be strongly p-distinguishing if, in addition, whenever $\nu_p(T_i) = 0$ then either p^2 does not divide $\exp(H)$ or p does not divide any of the periods of T_i .

Lemma 5.2 Suppose that a non-abelian finite simple group H has a set $\mathbb{T} = \{(T_{1,s}, T_{2,s}) \mid s = 1, ..., t\}$ of pairs $(T_{1,s}, T_{2,s})$ of generating triples for H such that

- 1. for each prime p dividing |H| there is some $s = s(p) \in \{1, ..., t\}$ such that $T_{1,s}$ and $T_{2,s}$ are a strongly p-distinguishing pair;
- 2. for each i = 1, 2 the 3t triples consisting of $T_{i,1}, \ldots, T_{i,t}$ and their cyclic permutations are mutually inequivalent.

Then $G := H^k$ is a Beauville group for each $k = 3t, \ldots, d_2(H)$.

Proof. Let $T_{i,s} = (x_{i,s}, y_{i,s}, z_{i,s})$ for i = 1, 2 and $s = 1, \ldots, t$. Define elements a_i, b_i and c_i of G by using $x_{i,s}, y_{i,s}, z_{i,s}$ or $y_{i,s}, z_{i,s}$, $x_{i,s}$ or $z_{i,s}, x_{i,s}, y_{i,s}$ respectively in their j-th coordinate positions where j = 3s - 2, 3s - 1 or 3s for $s = 1, \ldots, t$, so that their j-th coordinates form a cyclic permutation of $T_{i,s}$. Thus

$$a_i = (x_{i,1}, y_{i,1}, z_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,t}, y_{i,t}, z_{i,t}, \dots),$$

$$b_i = (y_{i,1}, z_{i,1}, x_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,t}, z_{i,t}, x_{i,t}, \dots),$$

$$c_i = (z_{i,1}, x_{i,1}, y_{i,1}, \dots, z_{i,t}, x_{i,t}, y_{i,t}, \dots).$$

If k > 3t then in coordinate positions j for j = 3t + 1, ..., k we use k - 3t further generating triples for H which are inequivalent to each other and to those already used for j = 1, ..., 3t. Thus a_i, b_i and c_i have k mutually inequivalent generating triples for H in their coordinate positions, so they form a generating triple for G. Since $t \ge 1$ we have $k \ge 3$, so $G \not\cong A_5$ and this triple is hyperbolic

Now let p be a prime dividing |H|, so there is some $s = s(p) \in \{1, ..., t\}$ such that $T_{1,s}$ and $T_{2,s}$ are a strongly p-distinguishing pair. If $g \in \Sigma_i^{(p)}$ for some i then $\operatorname{supp}(g) = S_p(d_i)$ where $d_i = a_i, b_i$ or c_i , so

$$|\text{supp}(g) \cap \{3s - 2, 3s - 1, 3s\}| = \nu_p(T_{i,s}).$$

Since $\nu_p(T_{1,s}) \neq \nu_p(T_{2,s})$ it follows that g cannot be a member of $\Sigma_i^{(p)}$ for both i=1 and i=2. Thus $\Sigma_1^{(p)} \cap \Sigma_2^{(p)} = \emptyset$, as required.

If we assume only that $T_{1,s}$ and $T_{2,s}$ are p-distinguishing, we have the following:

Lemma 5.3 Suppose that a non-abelian finite simple group H has a set $\mathbb{T} = \{(T_{1,s}, T_{2,s}) \mid s = 1, ..., t\}$ of pairs $(T_{1,s}, T_{2,s})$ of generating triples for H such that

- 1. for each prime p dividing |H| there is some $s = s(p) \in \{1, ..., t\}$ such that $T_{1,s}$ and $T_{2,s}$ are a p-distinguishing pair;
- 2. the 6t triples consisting of the 2t triples $T_{i,s}$ and their cyclic permutations are mutually inequivalent.

Then $G := H^k$ is a Beauville group for each $k = 6t, \ldots, d_2(H)$.

Proof. The proof is similar, with the first 3t coordinates of a_i, b_i and c_i defined as before. For $j = 3t + 1, \ldots, 6t$ we use the coordinate of a_{3-i}, b_{3-i} or c_{3-i} in position j - 3t, and if k > 6t we use further mutually inequivalent generating triples for H in the remaining coordinate positions, so each triple a_i, b_i, c_i generates G. For each prime p dividing |H|, each of the six generators a_i, b_i, c_i has at least one p-full coordinate, so again if $g \in \Sigma_i^{(p)}$ for some i then $\text{supp}(g) = S_p(d_i)$ where $d_i = a_i, b_i$ or c_i . The proof now continues as before. \square

In many applications of these lemmas one can take t=1, so that a single pair of generating triples suffices. This often happens when |H| is divisible by a small number of primes, as with the smaller alternating groups. The small values of k not covered by these lemmas can often be dealt with by applying the following result:

Lemma 5.4 Let H be a non-abelian finite simple group with r mutually inequivalent generating triples of type (l, m, n), where $r \geq 2$. If l, m and n are mutually coprime then H^k is a Beauville group for each k = 2, ..., 6r.

Proof. Let the specified generating triples for H be (x_j, y_j, z_j) for j = 1, ..., r. The 6r generating triples formed by cyclically permuting the entries of each (x_j, y_j, z_j) and each $(z_j^{-1}, y_j^{=1}, x_j^{-1})$ are then mutually inequivalent. Since H is non-abelian and simple and $G \not\cong A_5$, it follows that for each k = 2, ..., 6r one can form a hyperbolic generating triple for H^k by using any set of k of these triples in the different coordinate positions. In particular, one can choose two such triples of the forms

$$a_1 = (x_1, x_2, \ldots), \quad b_1 = (y_1, y_2, \ldots), \quad c_1 = (z_1, z_2, \ldots)$$

and

$$a_2 = (x_1, y_2, \ldots), \quad b_2 = (y_1, z_2, \ldots), \quad c_2 = (z_1, x_2, \ldots),$$

where in both cases the dots represent arbitrary choices of k-2 generating triples for H from the remaining 6r-2. If l,m and n are mutually coprime then any prime p dividing lmn must divide exactly one of l,m and n. Thus if $g \in \Sigma_1^{(p)}$ then $\operatorname{supp}(g)$ contains both 1 and 2, whereas if $g \in \Sigma_2^{(p)}$ it contains only one of them. Thus $\Sigma_1^{(p)} \cap \Sigma_2^{(p)} = \emptyset$.

6 Primitive permutation groups

In preparation for dealing with the groups $H = A_n$, here we present some results which imply that certain triples generate the alternating group.

Proposition 6.1 Let H be a primitive permutation group of degree n. Then $H \ge A_n$ if any of the following conditions is satisfied:

- 1. H has a subgroup with an orbit of length m, where 1 < m < n/2, fixing the remaining n m points;
- 2. H contains an m-cycle, where m = 2, ..., n 3;
- 3. H contains a double transposition, with $n \geq 9$.

Proof. (1) This is Margraff's extension [29, 30] of a theorem of Jordan, that if $1 < m \le n/2$ then H is 3-transitive (see also [34, Theorems 13.4, 13.5]).

- (2) This is a recent extension, by the author [23], of a theorem of Jordan which deals with the case where m is prime. (Although Wielandt, in [34, Theorem 13.9], refers to Jordan's paper [26] for this, it is not explicitly stated there. However, it follows easily from Théorème I of his paper [25], together with Théorème I of [26].)
- (3) A proof of this can be found on Peter Cameron's blog [5]. The natural representation of $AGL_3(2)$ shows that the lower bound on n cannot be relaxed.

Proposition 6.1(2) follows from a more general result [23], classifying the primitive groups containing an m-cycle for any m; this uses the classification of finite simple groups, and results of Müller [32] and Feit [13] for m = n - 1 and m = n.

In some situations, the assumption of primitivity can be replaced with transitivity, which is easier to verify, by using the following:

Lemma 6.2 Let H be a transitive permutation group of degree n, containing an m-cycle. If m is coprime to n and m > n/2, then H is primitive. In particular, if m is prime and m > n/2 then H is primitive.

Proof. For the first assertion, if H is imprimitive, then being transitive it has blocks of the same size b for some proper divisor b of n. If the cycle acts trivially on the blocks, its support is contained in a single block, so $m \le b \le n/2$, against our hypothesis. If the cycle acts non-trivially on the blocks, its support is a union of blocks, so b divides m, again contradicting our hypothesis.

The second assertion follows immediately from the first if m < n, and if m = n then H, as a transitive group of prime degree, must be primitive.

Corollary 6.3 Let H be a transitive permutation group of degree n, containing an m-cycle. If m is coprime to n and n/2 < m < n-2, then $H \ge A_n$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 6.2 and Proposition 6.1(2). \Box

The following result, concerning elements with two or three cycles, is also useful:

Lemma 6.4 Let H be a transitive permutation group of degree n. If H has an element h with either of the following cycle structures, then $H \ge A_n$:

- 1. cycle structure c, d for coprime integers c, d > 1;
- 2. cycle structure 1, c, d for coprime integers c, d > 1 such that neither 1 + c nor 1 + d divides n.

Proof. (1) We first show that H is primitive, so suppose that it is imprimitive, with blocks of size b, a proper divisor of n. Let the cycles of h be C and D, of lengths c and d. If C contains a block then it is a disjoint union of blocks, so b divides c, and hence divides n-c=d, contradicting the fact that c and d are coprime. The same argument applies to D, so every block B meets both C and D. It follows that $\langle h \rangle$ permutes the blocks transitively, so they meet C in the same number $r=|B\cap C|$ of points, giving c=rb. Similarly, the blocks all satisfy $|B\cap D|=s$ for some s, so d=sb. Thus b divides both c and d, again a contradiction. Hence H is primitive.

Without loss of generality, suppose that c < d, so c < n/2 since c + d = n. Since c and d are coprime, h^d is a cycle of length c. Since H is primitive and 1 < c < n/2, Proposition 6.1(1) implies that $H \ge A_n$.

(2) If H were imprimitive, the block containing the unique fixed point of h would be a union of cycles of h. This is impossible since neither 1 + c not 1 + d divides n, so H is primitive. As in (1), Proposition 6.1(1) completes the proof.

In this result, $h \in A_n$ if and only if $c \equiv d \mod (2)$. Provided $n \geq 8$, if n = 2m is even, or if n = 2m + 1 is odd, we obtain an element $h \in A_n$ satisfying hypothesis (1) or (2) respectively by taking $c, d = m \pm 1$ or $m \pm 2$ as m is even or odd.

The following result (see [10, Lemma 2.3]) is also useful in proving primitivity:

Lemma 6.5 Let $H = \langle h_1, \ldots, h_r \rangle$ be a transitive permutation group containing a cycle h of prime length. Suppose that for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$ there is an element of supp(h) whose image under h_i is also in supp(h). Then H is primitive.

7 Small alternating groups

We will now prove Theorem 1.1. The result is already known for k = 1 (see §1), so it is sufficient to show that if $H = A_n$ with $n \ge 5$ then H^k is a Beauville group for each $k = 2, \ldots, d_2(H)$. In this section we will consider the groups $H = A_n$ for $n = 5, \ldots, 11$. The remaining alternating groups will be considered in the next section, using general methods which do not always apply when n is small.

In this section and the next we will consider various triples (x, y, z) in A_n ; the reader may find it helpful to represent these as directed graphs on n vertices, with arcs corresponding to the actions of two of the generators (usually x and y).

Proposition 7.1 If n = 5, ..., 11 then A_n^k is a Beauville group for each $k = 2, ..., d_2(A_n)$.

Proof. We will deal with these seven groups individually.

7.1
$$H = A_5$$

It is well known that A_5 is not a Beauville group: for instance, any generating triple for this group must contain an element of order 5 (otherwise it generates a solvable and hence proper subgroup); Sylow's Theorems imply that all elements of order 5 are conjugate to powers of each other, so no pair of generating triples can satisfy condition (3). Nevertheless, we will prove that all other 2-generator cartesian powers of A_5 are Beauville groups.

Hall [20] showed that $d_2(A_5) = 19$, giving 19 equivalence classes of generating triples for A_5 . Note that A_5 has two conjugacy classes of elements of order 5, transposed under conjugation by odd permutations, and also under squaring.

Proposition 7.2 The group A_5^k is a Beauville group for each $k = 2, ..., d_2(A_5)$.

Proof. The existence of Beauville structures for k = 3, ..., 19 may be deduced immediately from Lemma 5.2 with t = 1, by using generating triples $T_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i)$ (i = 1, 2) of types (2, 5, 5) and (3, 3, 5), such as

$$x_1 = (1, 2)(3, 4), \quad y_1 = (1, 4, 2, 3, 5), \quad z_1 = (1, 5, 4, 2, 3)$$

and

$$x_2 = (1, 2, 3), \quad y_2 = (3, 4, 5), \quad z_2 = (1, 3, 5, 4, 2).$$

The only maximal subgroup of A_5 containing a subgroup $\langle z_i \rangle \cong C_5$ is its normaliser, isomorphic to D_5 , and in neither case does this contain y_i , so each T_i generates A_5 . Since

 A_5 has exponent 30, this pair of triples are strongly p-distinguishing for each of the relevant primes p=2,3 and 5, so A_5^k is a Beauville group for each $k=3,\ldots,19$.

If k = 2 we cannot use Lemma 5.4, since A_5 has no pairs of generating triples satisfying its hypotheses. Instead we use the following more specific approach.

Let (a_{1j}, b_{1j}, c_{1j}) be generating triples for A_5 of types (5, 5, 5) and (3, 5, 5) respectively for j = 1, 2, with all five generators of order 5 conjugate in A_5 . For instance, we could take

$$a_{11} = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5), \quad b_{11} = (1, 4, 5, 2, 3), \quad c_{11} = (1, 2, 4, 5, 3),$$

and

$$a_{12} = (1, 2, 4), \quad b_{12} = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5), \quad c_{12} = (1, 5, 2, 4, 3).$$

These triples are inequivalent, so the elements $a_1 = (a_{11}, a_{12})$, $b_1 = (b_{11}, b_{12})$ and $c_1 = (c_{11}, c_{12})$ form a generating triple of type (15, 5, 5) for the group $G = A_5^2$.

Now let $a_2 = (a_{21}, a_{22})$, $b_2 = (b_{21}, b_{22})$ and $c_2 = (c_{21}, c_{22})$ in G, where (a_{2j}, b_{2j}, c_{2j}) is a generating triple for A_5 of type (3, 5, 5) or (5, 5, 5) respectively for j = 1, 2, with b_{21} and c_{21} conjugate to each other in A_5 , but not conjugate to a_{22}, b_{22} or c_{22} . For instance, we could take $(a_{21}, b_{21}, c_{21}) = (a_{12}, b_{12}, c_{12})$ and $(a_{22}, b_{22}, c_{22}) = (a_{11}, b_{11}, c_{11})^g$ for some odd permutation $g \in S_5$. Then (a_2, b_2, c_2) is a generating triple for G, also of type (15, 5, 5).

In order to use Lemma 5.1 to show that these triples (a_i, b_i, c_i) satisfy condition (3), it is sufficient to consider the primes p=3 and 5. Elements of $\Sigma_1^{(3)}$ or $\Sigma_2^{(3)}$ have their first or second coordinates respectively equal to the identity, so they cannot be equal. Elements of $\Sigma_1^{(5)}$ have the form (g_1, g_2) with g_1 conjugate to g_2 or with $g_2 = 1$, whereas elements of $\Sigma_2^{(5)}$ have $g_1 = 1$ or g_1 not conjugate to g_2 , so again they cannot be equal. Thus $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \{1\}$, so these two triples form a Beauville structure for G.

7.2 $H = A_6$

When k=2 we can use Lemma 5.4. Up to conjugacy in S_6 , the group $H=A_6$ has four equivalence classes of generating triples (x,y,z) of type (3,4,5), given by taking z to be a 5-cycle (a,b,c,d,e) and x=(a,f,c), (a,f,e), (a,b,f)(c,d,e) or (a,f,d)(b,c,e). Each of these triples generates H since no maximal subgroup contains elements of orders 3,4 and 5 (see [7]). Now Aut A_6 contains S_6 with index 2, and acts semi-regularly on generating triples, so it has two orbits on triples of this type. It follows from Lemma 5.4 that A_6^k is a Beauville group for $k=2,\ldots,12$.

For $k = 3, ..., d_2(A_6) = 53$ (see [20]) we can apply Lemma 5.2 with t = 1, using triples of types (3, 5, 5) and (4, 4, 5) such as

$$x_1 = (1, 2, 3), \quad y_1 = (1, 3, 4, 5, 6), \quad z_1 = (1, 6, 5, 4, 2)$$

and

$$x_2 = (1, 2, 3, 4)(5, 6), \quad y_2 = (1, 3)(2, 5, 4, 6), \quad z_2 = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5).$$

Each triple generates a subgroup of A_6 which is doubly transitive and therefore primitive, and which contains a 3-cycle $(x_1 \text{ or } x_2 z_2^{-1} \text{ respectively})$, so by Proposition 6.1(2) it generates A_6 . Since A_6 has exponent 60, these two triples are strongly p-discriminating for each of the relevant primes p = 2, 3 and 5.

7.3
$$H = A_7$$

For small k we can use Lemma 5.4, with triples

$$x = (1, 2, 3), y = (3, 4, 5, 6, 7), z = (1, 3, 7, 6, 5, 4, 2)$$

and

$$x = (1, 2, 3)(4, 5, 6), \quad y = (1, 6, 7, 3, 4), \quad z = (1, 6, 3, 7, 5, 4, 2)$$

of type (3, 5, 7). No maximal subgroup of A_7 contains elements of orders 3, 5 and 7, so they are both generating triples. They are inequivalent since Aut $A_7 = S_7$ and the elements of order 3 have different cycle structures, so A_7^k is a Beauville group for each k = 2, ..., 12.

For larger k we can use Lemma 5.2 with t = 1. We choose one of the above triples of type (3, 5, 7), together with a triple of type (4, 7, 7), such as

$$x = (1, 2, 3, 4)(5, 6), y = (1, 5, 2, 4, 6, 7, 3), z = (1, 2, 6, 3, 7, 5, 4).$$

This generates a transitive group, which is primitive since its degree is prime, and must therefore be A_7 since it contains the 3-cycle $xy^3 = (1, 7, 5)$. Since A_7 has exponent $2^2.3.5.7$, these two triples deal with the cases $k = 3, \ldots, d_2(A_7)$.

7.4
$$H = A_8, \ldots, A_{11}$$

The arguments when n = 8, 9, 10 and 11 are similar to those used for A_6 and A_7 : when $k = 3, ..., d_2(A_n)$ we apply Lemma 5.2 with t = 1, and when k = 2 we apply Lemma 5.4. In each case, we will simply state some triples which can be used. Verifying that these are generating triples is straightforward, using results from the preceding section. Pairs of triples of the same type can easily be shown to be inequivalent by representing them as edge-labelled directed graphs on n vertices, with the arcs representing the actions of x and y: inequivalence of triples corresponds to non-isomorphism of their corresponding graphs.

When $H = A_8$, of exponent $2^2.3.5.7$, a pair of generating triples

$$x = (1, 2)(3, 4, 5, 6), \quad y = (1, 4, 3, 7, 8), \quad z = (1, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 2),$$

$$x = (1, 2, 3), y = (3, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8), z = (1, 3, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4),$$

of types (4,5,7) and (3,7,7) satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 5.2, so this deals with $k=3,\ldots,d_2(A_8)$. For k=2 we can use Lemma 5.4, with an inequivalent generating triple of type (4,5,7), such as

$$x = (1, 2)(3, 4, 5, 6), \quad y = (1, 7, 4, 3, 8), \quad z = (1, 8, 6, 5, 4, 7, 2).$$

When $H = A_9$, of exponent $2^2.3^2.5.7$, we can use generating triples

$$x = (1, 2, 3, 4)(5, 6, 7, 8), \quad y = (1, 4, 5, 8, 9), \quad z = (1, 9, 7, 6, 5, 3, 2),$$

$$x = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5), y = (1, 2, 5)(3, 6, 7, 8, 9), z = (1, 4, 3, 9, 8, 7, 6, 2, 5)$$

of types (4,5,7) and (5,15,9) for $k=3,\ldots,d_2(A_9)$. For k=2 we can use an inequivalent generating triple of type (4,5,7), such as

$$x = (1, 2, 3, 4)(5, 6, 7, 8), \quad y = (1, 4, 3, 5, 9), \quad z = (1, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 2).$$

When $H = A_{10}$, of exponent $2^3.3^2.5.7$, we can use generating triples

$$x = (1, ..., 8)(9, 10), \quad y = (1, 8, 7, 9, 10), \quad z = (1, 9, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2),$$

$$x = (1, ..., 9), y = (1, 3, 5, 7, 10), z = (1, 10, 6, 5, 2)(3, 9, 8, 7, 4)$$

of types (8,5,7) and (9,5,5) for $k=3,\ldots,d_2(A_{10})$. For k=2 we can use an inequivalent generating triple of type (8,5,7), such as

$$x = (1, ..., 8)(9, 10), \quad y = (1, 8, 7, 6, 9), \quad z = (1, 10, 9, 5, 4, 3, 2).$$

When $H = A_{11}$, of exponent $2^3.3^2.5.7.11$, we can use generating triples

$$x = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5), y = (2, 11)(3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 5, 4), z = (1, 5, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 2, 4),$$

$$x = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7), \quad y = (1, 6, 7, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 3), \quad z = (1, 2, 6, 7, 5, 3, 11, 10, 9, 8, 4)$$

of types (5, 8, 9) and (7, 11, 11) for $k = 3, \ldots, d_2(A_{11})$. For k = 2 we can use two inequivalent generating triples of type (11, 3, 8) given by taking

$$x = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11)$$
 and $y = (1, 4, 2)$ or $(1, 10, 2)$.

Thus, for each $n=5,\ldots,11$ we have shown that A_n^k is a Beauville group for $k=2,\ldots,d_2(A_n)$. This completes the proof of Proposition 7.1.

8 Larger alternating groups

If we try to apply the preceding method to A_n for larger n, then as n increases we need to consider more primes dividing the group order, namely, the $\pi(n) \sim n/\log n$ primes $p \leq n$. The irregular distribution of primes means that the *ad hoc* approach used for $n = 5, \ldots, 11$ will not work in general, so we need a more systematic method of proof to deal with A_n for $n \geq 12$ (an assumption which will be maintained throughout this section).

Proposition 8.1 If $n \ge 12$ then A_n^k is a Beauville group for each k = 2, ..., (n-5)(n-6)(n-7)/4.

Proof. We will use Lemma 5.4, which requires a number $r \geq 2$ of inequivalent generating triples of a type with mutually coprime periods. For odd n we take

$$x = (1, 2, ..., n - 4)$$
 and $y = (s, n - 3)(t, n - 2)(u, n - 1)(v, n)$

where s, t, u and v are distinct elements of $\{1, \ldots, n-4\}$, so that $z := (xy)^{-1}$ is an n-cycle. Since x and y are even permutations they generate a subgroup $H = \langle x, y \rangle$ of A_n . Since it contains z, H is transitive, and since n-4 is coprime to n and greater than n/2, Corollary 6.3 implies that $H = A_n$. Thus (x, y, z) is a generating triple of type (n-4, 2, n) for A_n , with mutually coprime periods. By representing these triples as directed graphs on n vertices, with arcs labelled x or y, we see that their equivalence classes (under Aut $H = S_n$) correspond to orbits of the additive group \mathbb{Z}_{n-4} on its 4-element subsets $\{s, t, u, v\}$. Since n-4 is odd, this action is semiregular, so the number of equivalence classes is $\binom{n-4}{4}/(n-4) = (n-5)(n-6)(n-7)/24$.

The argument is similar for even n. We take

$$x = (1, 2, ..., n - 3)$$
 and $y = (s, s + 1)(t, n - 2)(u, n - 1)(v, n)$

where s, s+1, t, u and v are distinct elements of $\{1, \ldots, n-3\}$, so that z is an (n-1)-cycle fixing s. In this case $H = \langle x, y \rangle$ is doubly transitive, since it is transitive and the stabiliser of s contains z, so it is primitive; since $x \in H$, Proposition 6.1(2) implies that $H = A_n$. We therefore have $\binom{n-5}{3} = (n-5)(n-6)(n-7)/6$ equivalence classes of generating triples of type (n-3, 2, n-1), with mutually coprime periods.

In either case the number r of equivalence classes is at least (n-5)(n-6)(n-7)/24, so Lemma 5.4 implies that A_n^k is a Beauville group for $k=2,\ldots,6r$.

To deal with larger k we will use Lemma 5.3. If n is large there is no single pair of generating triples which are p-distinguishing for all primes $p \leq n$, as there is for $n = 5, \ldots, 11$; instead we will find one such pair (T_p, T'_p) for each p, with T_p having at least one p-full element, while T'_p has none, so that the pair is p-distinguishing.

It is easy to find such generating triples T_p and T'_p for each p. However, in order to apply Lemma 5.3 we also need the triples T_p, T'_p and their cyclic permutations to be mutually inequivalent. We do this by constructing the triples T_p and T'_p in such a way that the corresponding prime p can be recognised from their three elements.

Lemma 8.2 If $n \ge 12$ there is a set \mathbb{T}_n of generating triples T_p for A_n , one for each prime $p \le n$, such that

- 1. at least one of the three elements of T_p is p-full, and
- 2. the triples T_p $(p \leq n)$ and their cyclic permutations are mutually inequivalent.

Proof. First let n be even, say n=2m. If p is odd take x to be a cycle of length p^e , where $p^e \le n < p^{e+1}$, so that x is p-full. Take y to consist of two cycles of coprime lengths $m \pm 1$ or $m \pm 2$ as m is even or odd, with both cycles meeting the support of x. Then $H = \langle x, y \rangle$ is a transitive subgroup of A_n , and applying Lemma 6.4(1) to y shows that $H = A_n$. Given x, we can choose such an element y so that $z := (xy)^{-1}$ has at least two non-trivial cycles, so x is the only cycle in the generating triple $T_p = (x, y, z)$. Thus p can be recognised from $\{x, y, z\}$ as the only prime dividing the order of this element, so for the odd primes $p \le n$ the triples T_p and their cyclic permutations are mutually inequivalent. If p = 2 take x to

have two cycles of lengths 2^e and 2 where $2^e + 2 \le n < 2^{e+1} + 2$ (so $e \ge 2$), together with $n - 2^e - 2$ fixed points, so x is 2-full. Take y as before, ensuring that some cycle of x meets both cycles of y, and that z again has at least two non-trivial cycles. The resulting triple T_2 is not equivalent to any cyclic permutation of a triple T_p for p odd, since none of its members is a cycle, nor to any cyclic permutation of itself, since x and y have even and odd orders respectively.

If n = 2m + 1 is odd, let x and y be as above, but with y having an extra fixed point, and all three of its cycles meeting the (or a) cycle of x. It is easy to check that y satisfies the conditions of Lemma 6.4(2), so $\langle x, y \rangle = A_n$ and the proof proceeds as before.

Although the cycle structures of x and y are completely specified in the above construction, there is usually some freedom of choice for that of z.

Lemma 8.3 If $n \ge 12$ there is a set \mathbb{T}'_n of generating triples T'_p for A_n , one for each prime $p \le n$, such that

- 1. none of the three elements of T'_p is p-full, and
- 2. the triples T'_p $(p \leq n)$ and their cyclic permutations are mutually inequivalent.

Proof. We shall construct the triples T'_p so that the corresponding prime p can be recognised from each of them, as follows. Given a prime p such that $1 \le p \le n$, let q be the largest prime less than p (so $q \ge 5$), and let x be the q-cycle $(1, 2, ..., q) \in A_n$. We will choose $y \in A_n$ so that $\langle x, y \rangle = A_n$, giving a generating triple $T'_p = (x, y, z)$ for A_n where $z = (xy)^{-1}$. We will arrange that y is not a cycle, and if z is a cycle then it is at least as long as x; thus p is the smallest prime greater than the length of a shortest cycle among x, y and z. The primes p = 2, 3 and 5 will be dealt with later, using separate constructions.

Let $p \geq 7$, and first suppose that n is even, so p < n. We take $y = (1, 2)c_3 \dots c_r$, where c_3, \dots, c_r are disjoint cycles, and each c_i has length m_i , joining $m_i - 1$ points from $\{q+1,\dots,n\}$ to the point $i \in \{3,\dots,q\}$, so $r \leq q$. We take $m_3 + \dots + m_r = n - q + r - 2$, so all such points are joined, and $z = (xy)^{-1}$ is a cycle of length n-1, fixing 1; thus z is even, and hence so is y, so the triple $T'_p = (x,y,z)$ lies in A_n . It generates a transitive subgroup H, which is primitive by Lemma 6.5 with h = x, so Proposition 6.1(2) gives $H = A_n$ since $q \leq p-2 < n-2$. This triple satisfies (1) if m_3, \dots, m_r and n-1 are coprime to p, so that x,y and z have orders coprime to p. If $n \not\equiv 1 \mod (p)$ then provided $n \not\equiv q-1 \mod (p)$ we can take r=3, with a single cycle c_3 of length n-q+1 coprime to p; if $n \equiv q-1 \mod (p)$ we can take r=4, with cycles c_3 and c_4 of lengths $m_3=2$ and $m_4=n-q$ ($m_3=1 \mod (p)$). (It is here that we need $m_3=1 \mod (p)$) is otherwise we cannot take $m_3=1 \mod (p)$ we instead define $m_3=1 \mod (p)$ and acts as a cycle of length $m_3=1 \mod (p)$ and acts as a cycle of length $m_3=1 \mod (p)$ is contained in $m_3=1 \mod (p)$, and acts as a cycle of length $m_3=1 \mod (p)$ is contained in $m_3=1 \mod (p)$, so $m_3=1 \mod (p)$, so $m_3=1 \mod (p)$ is coprime to $m_3=1 \mod (p)$.

Now suppose that n is odd. We take $y = (1, q, 2)c_3 \dots c_r$, with the cycles c_i as above, so z fixes 1 and q and acts an a cycle of length n-2 on the remaining points. Again, T'_p

generates A_n (if q = p - 2 = n - 2 we can take r = 4 with c_3 and c_4 transpositions, so y^2 is a 3-cycle and hence Proposition 6.1(2) applies). This triple has periods coprime to p, and hence satisfies (1), provided $n \not\equiv 2 \mod (p)$: we can choose c_3 , or c_3 and c_4 , as when n is even, if $n \not\equiv q - 1 \mod (p)$ or $n \equiv q - 1 \mod (p)$ respectively. If $n \equiv 2 \mod (p)$ we instead define $y = (1, 2, q)c_3 \dots c_r$, with the cycles c_i as before, so that z is now an n-cycle; the same argument then applies.

Note that in all of the above triples T_p' $(p \ge 7)$, x is a q-cycle for a prime q such that $5 \le q \le n-2$; y has a cycle contained in $\mathrm{supp}(x)$, of length 2 or 3 as n is even or odd, it has one or two more non-trivial cycles, each meeting $\mathrm{supp}(x)$ in one point, and it fixes the rest of $\mathrm{supp}(x)$; finally z is a cycle of length n, n-1 or n-2, or has cycle structure n-2, 2. In particular, x has a single non-trivial cycle, whereas y has at least two. Given such a triple, or any cyclic permutation of it, one can use this general information to identify which elements of the triple are x, y and z, and hence to identify the prime p from the length q of the cycle x.

When p=5 we will take $x=(1,2,\ldots,q)$ again, but with q=7 rather than 3. (Using the preceding construction, and taking q=3, would force r=3, whereas we would need to allow r=4 for some n.) We must therefore ensure that T_5' is not equivalent to T_{11}' or its cyclic permutations, since this triple (but no other T_p') uses the same element x. If n is even we define $y=(2,6)c_3\ldots c_r$, with r=3 or 4 and c_i defined as before, so that z has cycle structure 3,n-3. We can do this, with each m_i and n-3 coprime to 5, provided $n\not\equiv 3$ mod (5); if $n\equiv 3$ mod (5) we take $y=(2,5)c_3\ldots c_r$ instead, so that z has cycle structure 4,n-4. Now suppose that n is odd. If $n\not\equiv 2$ mod (5) we define $y=(1,2)(6,7)c_3\ldots c_r$, so that z is an (n-2)-cycle, and if $n\equiv 2$ mod (5) we define $y=(1,2)(5,7)c_3\ldots c_r$, so that z has cycle structure 1,2,n-3. In all cases, applying Lemma 6.5 and Proposition 6.1(2) to the 7-cycle x shows that the resulting triple T_5' generates A_n . Moreover, if n is even then z has a cycle of length 3 or 4, while if n is odd then y has two non-trivial cycles contained in supp(x); in either case this shows that T_5' is not equivalent to any cyclic permutation of T_{11}' , and hence of any T_p' for p>5.

For p=2 or 3, since $n \ge 12$ we can satisfy (1) by imposing the weaker condition that none of x, y and z has a cycle of length divisible by p^2 , rather than the more restrictive p. Let p=3. For odd $n \not\equiv 0 \mod (9)$ let

$$x = (1, 2, ..., n), y = (1, 2, 3), z = (1, 2, n, n - 1, ..., 3).$$

Then H is primitive since the only $\langle x \rangle$ -invariant proper equivalence relations are given by congruence mod (m) for some proper divisor m of n, and y preserves none of these. Thus $H = A_n$ by Proposition 6.1(2), giving a generating triple T'_3 of type (n, 3, n). For odd $n \equiv 0 \mod (9)$ let

$$x = (1, 2, \dots, n-4)(n-3, n-2, n-1), \quad y = (1, 3, 2)(n-4, n-3, n),$$

so that z is an (n-2)-cycle. Then H is A_n by Corollary 6.3 since it contains the (n-4)-cycle x^3 with n-4>n/2 and $\gcd(n,n-4)=1$, so we have a generating triple of type (3(n-4),3,n-2). Now let n be even. If $n \not\equiv 1 \mod (9)$ let

$$x = (1, 2, \dots, n-1), \quad y = (1, n, 2),$$

so that z is an (n-1)-cycle, giving a generating triple of type (n-1,3,n-1). For even $n \equiv 1 \mod (9)$ let

$$x = (1, 2, \dots, n-3), \quad y = (1, n, 2)(3, 5, 4)(n-3, n-2, n-1),$$

$$z = (2, n, n-3, n-1, n-2, n-4, n-5, \dots, 5).$$

Then $H = A_n$ by Corollary 6.3 since $x \in H$, so we have a generating triple of type (n-3,3,n-3). In all these cases, y has order 3, whereas no triple T'_p for p > 3 contains such an element.

Now let p = 2. If n is odd let

$$x = (1, ..., n-2), y = (n-3, n-1)(n-2, n).$$

Then H is primitive by Lemma 6.2 since $x \in H$, so $H = A_n$ by Proposition 6.1(3) since $y \in H$. This gives a generating triple T'_2 of type (n-2,2,n). If n is even let

$$x = (1, ..., n - 1), y = (1, 2)(n - 1, n),$$

so a similar argument shows that this is a generating triple of type (n-1,2,n-1). In both cases, the presence of an element y of order 2 distinguishes T'_2 from all other triples T'_p and their cyclic permutations, so conclusion (2) is satisfied.

Lemma 8.4 If $n \ge 12$ then no triple in \mathbb{T}_n is equivalent to a cyclic permutation of a triple in \mathbb{T}'_n .

Proof. Each triple $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{T}_n$ contains an element y with cycle structure c, d or 1, c, d as n is even or odd, where $c, d \geq 5$. However, if $(x', y', z') \in \mathbb{T}'_n$ then no element x', y' or z' has such a cycle structure: by the construction of T'_p , each of x' and z' is a single cycle (possibly with fixed points), or has a cycle of length 2 or 3, while y' always has a cycle of length 2 or 3. Thus (x, y, z) cannot be equivalent to a cyclic permutation of (x', y', z'). \square

Proposition 8.5 If $n \ge 12$ then A_n^k is a Beauville group for each $k = 6\pi(n), \ldots, d_2(A_n)$.

Proof. The preceding three lemmas show that the $\pi(n)$ ordered pairs (T_p, T'_p) of generating triples for A_n , where $p \leq n$, satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 5.3.

Proposition 8.1 deals with A_n^k where $k=2,\ldots,(n-5)(n-6)(n-7)/4$, while Proposition 8.5 does this for $k=6\pi(n),\ldots,d_2(A_n)$. Easy estimates show that

$$6\pi(n) \le 3(n+1) \le (n-5)(n-6)(n-7)/4$$

for all $n \geq 12$, so these two results cover each $k = 2, ..., d_2(A_n)$ for such n. Combining this with Proposition 7.1, which deals with n = 5, ..., 11, we have proved:

Theorem 8.6 If $n \geq 5$ then A_n^k is a Beauville group for each $k = 2, ..., d_2(A_n)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

- [1] N. Barker, N. Boston and B. Fairbairn, A note on Beauville p-groups, Experiment. Math. 21 (2012), 298–306.
- [2] I. Bauer, F. Catanese and F. Grunewald, Beauville surfaces without real structures I, in: Geometric Methods in Algebra and Number Theory, Progr. Math. 235, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 2005, pp. 1–42.
- [3] I. Bauer, F. Catanese and F. Grunewald, Chebycheff and Belyi polynomials, dessins d'enfants, Beauville surfaces and group theory, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 3 (2006) 121–146.
- [4] A. Beauville, Surfaces algébriques complexes, *Astérisque* 54, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1978.
- [5] P. J. Cameron, http://cameroncounts.wordpress.com/2010/08/09/the-symmetric-group-8/
- [6] F. Catanese, Fibred surfaces, varieties isogenous to a product and related moduli spaces, Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000) 1–44.
- [7] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *ATLAS of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [8] J. D. Dixon, The probability of generating the symmetric group, *Math. Z.* 110 (1969), 199–205.
- [9] J. D. Dixon, Asymptotics of generating the symmetric and alternating groups, *Electron. J. Combin.* 12 (2005), Research paper 5, 5 pp.
- [10] B. Everitt, Alternating quotients of Fuchsian groups, J. Algebra 223 (2000), 457–476.
- [11] B. T. Fairbairn, Some exceptional Beauville structures, *J. Group Theory* 15 (2012), 631–639.
- [12] B. T. Fairbairn, K. Magaard and C. W. Parker, Generation of finite simple groups with an application to groups acting on Beauville surfaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, to appear, doi:10.1112/plms/pds097.
- [13] W. Feit, Some consequences of the classification of finite simple groups. The Santa Cruz conference on finite groups (eds. B. Cooperstein and G. Mason), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 37 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1980), 175–181.
- [14] Y. Fuertes and G. González-Diez, On Beauville structures on the groups S_n and A_n , Math. Z. 264 (2010), 959–968.
- [15] Y. Fuertes, G. González-Diez and A. Jaikin-Zapirain, On Beauville surfaces, *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 5 (2011), 107–119.

- [16] Y. Fuertes and G. A. Jones, Beauville surfaces and finite groups, *J. Algebra* 340 (2011), 13–27.
- [17] S. Garion and M. Penegini, New Beauville surfaces, moduli spaces and finite groups, Comm. Algebra, to appear (arXiv:math.GR/0910.5402).
- [18] S. Garion, M. Larsen and A. Lubotzky, Beauville surfaces and finite simple groups, J. Reine Angew. Math. 666 (2012), 225–243.
- [19] R. Guralnick and G. Malle, Simple groups admit Beauville structures, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 85 (2012), 694–721.
- [20] P. Hall, The Eulerian functions of a group, Q. J. Math. 7 (1936), 134–151.
- [21] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1979.
- [22] G. A. Jones, Beauville surfaces and groups: a survey, Fields Inst. Comm., to appear.
- [23] G. A. Jones, Primitive permutation groups containing a cycle, *Bull. Austr. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [24] G. A. Jones, Characteristically simple Beauville groups, II: low rank and sporadic groups, submitted.
- [25] C. Jordan, Théorèmes sur les groupes primitifs, J. Math. Pures Appl. (2) 16 (1871), 383–408.
- [26] C. Jordan, Sur la limite de transitivité des groupes non alternés, Bull. Soc. Math. France 1 (1873), 40–71.
- [27] W. M. Kantor and A. Lubotzky, The probability of generating a finite classical group, Geom. Dedicata 36 (1990), 67–87.
- [28] M. W. Liebeck and A. Shalev, The probability of generating a finite simple group, Geom. Dedicata 56 (1995), 103–113.
- [29] B. Marggraff, Über primitive Gruppen mit transitiven Untergruppen geringeren Grades, Inaugural Dissertation, Univ. Giessen, c. 1890.
- [30] B. Marggraff, Primitive Gruppen, welche eine transitive Gruppe geringeren Grades enthalten, Wissenschaftliche Beilage zum Jahresberichte des Sophien-Gymnasiums zu Berlin, 1895.
- [31] A. Maróti and M. C. Tamburini, Bounds for the probability of generating the symmetric and alternating groups, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 96 (2011), 115–121.

- [32] P. Müller, Reducibility behavior of polynomials with varying coefficients, *Israel J. Math.* 94 (1996), 59–91.
- [33] J. M. Tyrer Jones, Direct products and the Hopf property, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 17 (1974), 174–196.
- [34] H. Wielandt, Finite Permutation Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [35] J. Wiegold, Growth sequences of finite groups, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 17 (1974), 133–141.